

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/671,674	09/27/2000	Yoshiaki Komma	041-1714BRI	7384	
7	590 10/10/2002				
ISRAEL GOPSTEIN			EXAM	EXAMINER	
CLARK & BRODY 1750 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			JUBA JR	JUBA JR, JOHN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2872		

DATE MAILED: 10/10/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1./			
Advisory Action	09/671,674	KOMMA ET AL.	0-			
havioury house.	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John Juba	2872				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2002 FAILS TO PLAGE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whicl I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timel	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 (2)	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply cellater than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting HE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approper of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furth	(a)					
(b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) X they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		idered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which wer	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-132</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Exam	iner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme						
10. Other:		JOHN COOK	luba Talia			
		EXAMINADE UNIT	1ER 1 2872			

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Additionally presented claims raise a new issue as to support in the original specification and claims (new matter), raise a new issue as breadth of the invention now claimed, raise a new issue as to whether they are directed to what was objectively regarded as "the invention", and raise a new issue with respect to the prior art.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The response does not address the claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. §251 as being bases upon a defective declaration. .

#15-03